Managing Recent-Onset Diabetes: Choosing Durable, Well-Tolerated Therapies and Understanding the Role of Incretin-Based Therapies
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Management of recent-onset diabetes offers osteopathic physicians the opportunity to work with patients to set treatment goals and expectations for this progressive yet manageable disease, as well as intervene early to reduce the risk of diabetes-related complications. Starting effective therapy early—and intensifying therapy appropriately—to achieve and maintain glycemic goals has been shown to reduce microvascular risks and produce legacy effects that may have macrovascular benefits. Metformin remains a cornerstone of therapy for those patients who can tolerate it. Early combination therapy that is well tolerated reduces risks of hypoglycemia or unwanted weight gain, improves patient adherence, and addresses the multifactorial pathophysiology of even recent-onset diabetes. Incretin-based therapies have been shown to be effective across the spectrum of type 2 diabetes mellitus, including recent-onset diabetes. The present article reviews the use of incretin-based therapies early in the disease process of type 2 diabetes mellitus.
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A changing lifestyles lead to less physical activity and higher rates of obesity, diabetes mellitus remains among the most common chronic diseases in the United States and continues to increase in prevalence. Data from the 2011 National Diabetes Fact Sheet indicate that 25.8 million children and adults in the United States—8.3% of the population—have diabetes.1 A total of 1.9 million new cases of diabetes were diagnosed in people aged 20 years or older in 2010.1

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is an important contributor to premature vascular disease, blindness, renal failure, and lower-limb amputations. In the United States, 79 million people (approximately 1 in 3 US adults aged ≥20 years) have prediabetes, a condition in which blood glucose or glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels are higher than normal but not high enough to be classified as diabetes (ie, HbA1c 5.7%-6.4%).

Etiologic Process of and β-Cell Dysfunction in T2DM

Primary predisposing factors for T2DM include genetic features of insulin resistance and insulin secretory defects. Secondary precipitating factors include obesity, low levels of physical activity, advancing age, smoking, and sleep disturbance. Finally, glucotoxicity and lipid toxicity can accelerate this pathophysiologic process.2 Insulin resistance (occurring in the muscle and liver) and β-cell dysfunction are key pathophysiologic defects of T2DM and have been well recognized for decades.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is frequently asymptomatic and remains undiagnosed for many years—as many as one-third of individuals with diabetes are unaware that they have the disease.3 The average time from development of T2DM to clinical presentation is 4 to 7 years.3 β-Cell dysfunction occurs early in the course of diabetes, and in reality, it is well progressed in the impaired glucose tolerance state. Persons in the upper tertile of this state are maximally or near-maximally insulin resistant and may lose over 80% of their β-cell function before their diabetes is recognized.4 T2DM does not occur in the absence of progressive β-cell failure. Thus, therapeutic agents that do not adversely affect β-cell function or that may improve β-cell function may slow disease progression. There is some evidence of possible deleterious effects of sulfonylureas on β-cell function,5 which may explain the lower “durability” of their antihyperglycemic effects as evidenced in the A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial.6

Other factors also play a role in glucose intolerance in patients with T2DM, including accelerated lipolysis (lipotoxicity, increased free fatty acids), incretin deficiency or resistance in the gastrointestinal tract, insulin resistance in the brain, and impaired glucose transport in the kidneys.4 These multiple pathophysiologic defects require use of agents with different mechanisms of action to successfully manage T2DM.7 Combination therapy that includes nutrition, physical activity, agents that affect insulin sensitivity (eg, metformin), and agents that address other aspects of diabetes pathophysiology represents a more comprehensive paradigm in the management of this complicated progressive disease. Indeed, the multifactoral nature of hyperglycemia in T2DM dictates the need for therapies using multiple mechanisms of action (Figure 1).4

Rationale for Addressing Hyperglycemia

The complications of T2DM begin early in the progression from normal glucose tolerance to frank diabetes. In fact, many patients present with evidence of diabetic complications at the time of diagnosis. For example, up to 21% of patients with T2DM have retinopathy when their disease is first diagnosed.6 Most, if not all, diabetic complications progressively worsen as glycemic control worsens.

The historic rationale for improving hyperglycemia in patients with T2DM has been reduction of microvascular risk. As shown in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, an estimated 37% decrease in microvascular risk is achieved for each 1% decrement in HbA1c level (Figure 2). The decrease in macrovascular risk (ie, myocardial infarction risk) was estimated at 14% for each 1% decrease in HbA1c level in the same landmark trial (P<.0001).9

The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, conducted with T2DM patients, and the Diabetes Chronic Complications Trial, which studied patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus, are the 2 landmark trials that convincingly demonstrated that tight glycemic control has beneficial effects on microvascular end points. These studies also revealed a “legacy effect,” which is a
sustained benefit with respect to cardiovascular disease outcomes seen long after the conclusion of the trial, demonstrating benefits of early treatment on long-term outcomes. Even 10 years after an intensive glucose-control intervention ended, patients benefited from previous therapy.

Importance of Early Pharmacotherapy

Initiating metformin soon after diabetes diagnosis and while a patient’s HbA1c level is low may preserve β-cell function, prolong the effectiveness of metformin, reduce lifetime glycemic burden, and prevent diabetes complications. Waiting to start therapy reduced the anti-hyperglycemic efficacy of metformin—therapy started soon after diagnosis of T2DM vs 3 months later worked twice as long. Beginning treatment in patients with lower HbA1c levels (ie, in less glucotoxic patients) also was associated with greater durability of drug effect. These findings support the current treatment algorithm for hyperglycemia management that recommends metformin initiation for most patients when diabetes is first diagnosed.

Although metformin is a cornerstone drug for managing T2DM, it is contraindicated in persons with any condition that could increase the risk of lactic acidosis, including kidney disorders (creatinine levels >1.7 mg/dL, although this is an arbitrary limit), lung disease (such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), and liver disease.

Severe gastrointestinal distress may also preclude patient adherence and may require careful dose adjustment or the use of extended-release formulations (which are more expensive than generic immediate-release forms).

Metformin monotherapy will fail over time in its ability to help patients maintain glycemic control. However, glyburide (a sulfonylurea) had the fastest rates of secondary failure compared with metformin and rosiglitazone (a thiazolidinedione [TZD]), with rosiglitazone having the lowest rates among these 3 oral agents (Figure 3). (Secondary failure is the deterioration of glucose control in patients with T2DM on oral antidiabetic drugs and is primarily due to the progressive decline in β-cell function and reduction in insulin secretion.)

Figure 1.
Multifactoral nature of hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus requires multiple mechanisms of action. Source: Diabetes: a journal of the American Diabetes Association by American Diabetes Association; Stanford University. Reproduced with permission of the American Diabetes Association in the format Continuing Education via Copyright Clearance Center.

Individualizing Glycemic Targets

Glycemic goals should be individualized for each patient. In patients with recent-onset diabetes who do not have substantial or burdensome complications, the goal of therapy should be to reduce the risk of future complications. Tighter glucose goals (closer to normal levels, ie, HbA1c levels closer to 6% if achievable without undue risk of hypoglycemia) may be appropriate. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) recommends a general HbA1c target of <6.5%, which might be a reasonable goal for patients with recent-onset diabetes who are relatively healthy, at low risk for hypoglycemia, and without concurrent illness.
Hypoglycemia has been a limiting factor in achieving HbA1c targets in the past, when the common additions to metformin therapy, other thanTZDs, were primarily limited to insulin and sulfonylureas.15

Pharmacologic Treatment of T2DM: From Monotherapy to Dual Therapy

The basic tenets of treatment of patients with T2DM are that it should be (1) based on known pathophysiologic abnormalities, (2) adjusted according to unique patient characteristics, and (3) comprehensive in nature (ie, not glucose- or HbA1c-centric). Most patients will require glucose-lowering therapy with agents that have complementary and synergistic mechanisms of action. They will also likely require attention to cardiovascular risk factor reduction. The key point is that treatment that addresses the progressive nature of T2DM early in the disease course will reduce the likelihood of poor outcomes for patients.

When a primary goal is to avoid hypoglycemia, the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes treatment algorithm also offers a variation on their overall algorithm for 2-drug therapy (Figure 5),13 which is the addition of either DPP-4 inhibitors (which are weight neutral; they do not cause weight gain), or GLP-1 receptor agonists (which are often, though not always, associated with some weight loss).18 (The weight loss effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists occur independent of glucose lowering.)18 Although treatments generally should not be chosen solely on drug acquisition costs, it may be necessary, especially for lower-income groups in which drug costs may adversely affect adherence rates.18

If this barrier cannot be overcome through free clinics, industry patient-assistance programs, or insurance disease management programs, then sulfonylureas may be considered.13

The 2013 American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists comprehensive diabetes control algorithm provides suggestions for dual therapy beyond metformin (or other first-line agents) that include “ratings” (eg, “few adverse events or possible benefits,” “use with caution”) and consideration of more of the available classes of drug therapy (ie, insulin, TZDs, SGLT-2 inhibitors, co-

Figure 2. Incidence of microvascular disease by glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level. There was an estimated 37% decrease in microvascular risk for each 1% decrement in HbA1c (P < .0001). This microvascular risk reduction supports the rationale for improving glycemia. Source: Reproduced from Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ. 2000;321(7258):405-412, with permission from BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.
Use of Incretin-Based Therapies as Monotherapy

Metformin is considered the preferred first-line treatment for most patients with T2DM except those for whom it is contraindicated or for those unable to tolerate it. Because of a lack of extensive clinical trial data and clinical experience, clinical guidelines have yet to adopt incretin-based therapies as first-line therapy for newly diagnosed patients with T2DM except for those who are unable to use metformin. There are clinical trial data, however, supporting the use of either DPP-4 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists as monotherapy in drug-naïve T2DM patients. Specifically, various doses of sitagliptin given in patients whose diabetes is not controlled by lifestyle intervention alone showed dose-dependent decreases in HbA1c levels ranging from −0.15% to −0.76% (dose ranges were 5 mg twice daily to 200 mg every day; approved dosing for patients without renal impairment is 10-20 mg every day). Saxagliptin used as monotherapy (doses 2.5 mg to 100 mg [approved doses are 2.5 to 5 mg daily]) are associated with HbA1c reductions of −0.43% to −1.09% compared with placebo. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists have the added benefit of being especially useful early in the disease process for patients who are overweight or obese while providing more robust glucose-lowering results than the DPP-4 inhibitors. These receptor agonists are given by subcutaneous injection, whereas DPP-4 inhibitors are given orally, which may affect aspects of patient education and patient training. Also, GLP-1 receptor agonists have the added benefit of being especially useful early in the disease process for patients who are overweight or obese.

Figure 3.
Cumulative incidence of monotherapy failure of 5 years. Hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) were as follows: rosiglitazone vs metformin, 0.68 (0.55-0.85) (P<.001); rosiglitazone vs glyburide, 0.37 (0.30-0.45) (P<.001). Source: The New England Journal of Medicine by the Massachusetts Medical Society. Reproduced with permission of the Massachusetts Medical Society, in the format reuse in CME materials via Copyright Clearance Center.

Rationale for Use of Incretin-Based Therapies in Early-Onset T2DM

Short-term studies have shown that incretin hormones and incretin-based therapies (ie, DPP-4 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists) protect β cells by enhancing β-cell proliferation and differentiation as well as by inhibiting β-cell death. They also stimulate β-cell function by recruiting β cells to the secretory process and increasing insulin biosynthesis and secretion. Therefore, incretin-based therapies might alter disease progression if used as an early intervention when there is still enough β-cell mass and function available to be preserved. Whether this effect will be confirmed by long-term clinical data remains to be seen. However, as other therapies (with the possible exception of TZDs) do not have positive effects on β-cell function, these findings support the use of incretin-based therapies early in the course of T2DM. Furthermore, though not an approved use, incretin therapy has been shown to provide value in patients with prediabetes, impaired glucose state, and impaired fasting glucose.
### Summary

Management of recent-onset T2DM presents the osteopathic physician with the opportunity to intervene early and assertively by interacting with patients on lifestyle interventions and the use of adequate pharmacotherapy. Such man-

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health eating, weight control, increased physical activity</th>
<th>Metformin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy (↓HbA&lt;sub&gt;1c&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
<td>low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>neutral/loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>gastrointestinal/lactic acidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If needed to reach individualized HbA<sub>1c</sub> target after ~3 months, proceed to 2-drug combination (order not meant to denote any specific preference):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If needed to reach individualized HbA<sub>1c</sub> target after ~3 months, proceed to 3-drug combination (order not meant to denote any specific preference):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thiazolidinedione + SU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or DPP-4 inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or insulin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TZD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4 inhibitor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or SU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metformin +</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or SU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If combination therapy that includes basal insulin has failed to achieve HbA<sub>1c</sub> target after 3-6 months, proceed to a more complex insulin strategy usually in combination with 1-2 noninsulin agents.

agonists are associated with gastrointestinal adverse effects that, though temporary in nature, must be discussed with patients and can be modified by gradual dose titration. In addition, GLP-1 receptor agonists are associated with significant and sustained weight loss, whereas DPP-4 inhibitors tend to be weight neutral. Both classes of agents are associated with a low risk of hypoglycemia, unless used with insulin or insulin secretagogues, in which case the dose adjustment of the latter might need to be made.

---

**Figure 4.**
Algorithm for patients when the goal is to avoid hypoglycemia. Source: *Diabetes*: a journal of the American Diabetes Association by American Diabetes Association; Stanford University. Reproduced with permission of the American Diabetes Association in the format Continuing Education via Copyright Clearance Center.
Management includes pharmacotherapy at the time of diagnosis with intensification as needed if glycemic goals are not met within 3 months of an adequate drug trial. Setting treatment goals with patients and discussing how these goals relate to diabetes-related complications is important.

A comprehensive approach to diabetes care now encompasses more than a gluco-centric approach to care. Polypharmacy and addressing the multifactorial nature of T2DM may be warranted even in the early stages of T2DM. Agents that have beneficial non-glycemic effects on β-cell function, weight, and hypoglycemia risk, such as the incretin-based therapies, may be especially useful tools for osteopathic physicians. However, they must also recognize that adverse effects and medication-related risk influence patient treatment choices and adherence rates.
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Figure 5.
Algorithm for patients when the goal is to avoid weight gain.12 Source: Diabetes: a journal of the American Diabetes Association when by American Diabetes Association; Stanford University. Reproduced with permission of the American Diabetes Association in the format Continuing Education via Copyright Clearance Center.


