Stress-induced cardiomyopathy is becoming a more commonly recognized diagnosis, accounting for 2% to 3% of patients presenting with signs and symptoms of acute anterior myocardial infarction. We present the case of a 68-year-old man with dyspnea 9 days after an unrelated operation. After hospital admission, he complained of chest pain, and an electrocardiogram demonstrated ST-segment elevation in the anterolateral and inferior leads, ST-segment depression in lead aVR, and an absence of ST-segment changes in lead V₆. Cardiac biomarker levels were elevated. Transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated a left ventricular ejection fraction of 30% to 40%, basilar hyperactivity, apical dyskinesia, and distal inferior and anterior akinesia. Cardiac catheterization did not reveal any culprit obstructive lesion. He received a diagnosis of stress-induced cardiomyopathy and was treated according to established recommendations for systolic heart failure. His cardiac biomarkers returned to normal, and a repeated transthoracic echocardiogram 3 days later revealed nearly complete resolution of myocardial wall–motion abnormalities.


Stress-induced cardiomyopathy is a relatively new term for a condition that, to our knowledge, was first described in Japan 20 years ago. It is also referred to in the literature as apical ballooning syndrome, broken heart syndrome, and takotsubo cardiomyopathy. Patients who are eventually found to have stress-induced cardiomyopathy have symptoms that mimic myocardial infarction (MI). This condition most commonly occurs in postmenopausal women and is often precipitated by severe physical or emotional stress. However, this condition is by no means confined to postmenopausal women, as it is being described in increasing frequency in premenopausal women and in men.

We present the case of a 68-year-old white man who, after hospital admission, developed symptoms of acute coronary syndrome and was subsequently diagnosed as having stress-induced cardiomyopathy. His case is important to review for the following reasons: it describes how a man might likely present with stress-induced cardiomyopathy, it follows his course and how the diagnosis was made, and it allows for exploration of the pathophysiologic characteristics of the condition by correlating electrocardiographic (ECG) findings with the myocardial wall–motion abnormalities that are normally seen only by a cardiologist.

Report of Case
A 68-year-old man presented to the emergency department with symptoms of generalized malaise, dyspnea, and anorexia. Nine days prior to presentation, the patient had undergone a right femoropopliteal bypass surgical procedure for symptomatic claudication. At his postprocedure follow-up with a vascular surgeon, before presentation to the emergency department, he had 1+ right lower extremity swelling. A venous duplex sonogram taken the same day as the follow-up with the vascular surgeon was negative for deep venous thrombosis. Results from cardiac catheterization, performed 2 weeks prior to his surgical procedure as part of his preoperative evaluation, demonstrated nonobstructive coronary artery disease. His past medical history was notable for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, peripheral vascular disease, and a 50-pack-year smoking history.

In the emergency department, the patient’s vital signs were unremarkable except for mild hypotension with a
narrow pulse pressure (90/62 mm Hg). He was not using accessory respiratory muscles. His physical examination was notable only for bibasilar rales and 1+ nonpitting right lower extremity edema. In addition, the patient reported that his spouse had several severe medical problems and needed a high level of care from the patient at home.

An ECG was without ischemic changes. Posteroanterior and lateral chest radiographs revealed increased interstitial markings with pulmonary vascular prominence. A pulmonary computed tomographic angiogram was negative for pulmonary embolism. Cardiac biomarkers were within normal limits, and the patient’s brain-type natriuretic peptide level was 656 pg/mL (the patient’s preoperative level was 13 pg/mL). The patient was admitted to the hospital.

On the night of hospital day 1, the patient complained of midsternal chest pressure radiating to his neck. A second ECG demonstrated diffuse ST-segment elevation in the anterolateral and inferior leads, along with ST-segment depression in lead aVR and absence of ST-segment elevation in lead V1 (Figure 1). Cardiac biomarkers revealed a creatinine kinase-MB fraction of 0.192 ng/mL and a troponin I level of 3.23 ng/mL. Immediate cardiac catheterization was performed (early morning of day 2), which revealed no new obstructive lesions in the patient’s coronary artery anatomy (Figure 2). Ventriculography was deferred because of renal insufficiency and the recently performed computed tomographic pulmonary angiography. After cardiac catheterization, the patient underwent transthoracic echocardiography, results of which demonstrated a left ventricular ejection fraction of 30% to 40%, basilar hyperactivity, apical dyskinesia, and distal inferior and anterior akinesia (Figure 3).

On the basis of these findings, stress-induced cardiomyopathy was diagnosed. The stressor believed to be most likely the cause of the patient’s presentation was the right femoropopliteal bypass procedure. Although the patient’s spouse was not acutely ill during this period, stress from the high level of care that she required at home may have contributed.

To our knowledge, no strict criteria for the initial management of stress-induced cardiomyopathy exist. Treatment is largely supportive, and attempts should be made to alleviate the triggering physical or emotional stress, if possible. Most experts favor at least the short-term use of standard medications for heart failure when systolic dysfunction is present. These medications should be continued until resolution of systolic function is observed, and perhaps indefinitely. Diuretics are effective in treating concurrent congestive heart failure. If dynamic left ventricular outflow tract obstruction is seen on echocardiography, intravenous fluids can be given in the absence of heart failure. Systemic anticoagulation can be initiated if severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction is present and can be continued for a few weeks when resolution of systolic function is prolonged. Therefore, on day 2, the patient...
The patient’s cardiac biomarkers quickly returned to normal, and a repeated transthoracic echocardiogram 3 days later (day 5) revealed nearly complete resolution of myocardial wall-motion abnormalities (Figure 4). The patient was discharged from the hospital on day 6, and the regimen of metoprolol, 100 mg/d, and candesartan, 8 mg/d, was continued. A repeated ECG approximately 3 weeks later demonstrated resolution of anterior ST-segment elevation and deep, diffuse T-wave inversions in the inferior and precordial leads (Figure 5). Three months after hospital admission, the patient’s ECG results had returned to normal (Figure 6).

Figure 3. Long-axis precordial end diastolic (A) and end systolic (B) views from transthoracic echocardiogram immediately after cardiac catheterization demonstrate basilar hyperactivity (line arrows), apical dyskinesia (block arrows), and distal inferior and anterior wall akinesia. The ejection fraction was estimated to be 30% to 40%.

Figure 4. Long-axis precordial end diastolic (A) and end systolic (B) views obtained on day 5 of hospital admission demonstrate near-complete resolution of apical dyskinesia and distal inferior and anterior wall akinesia. The ejection fraction was estimated at 60% to 65%.

Figure 5. Electrocardiogram obtained 19 days after patient’s initial hospital admission date demonstrates resolution of ST-segment elevation in the inferior and anterolateral leads. Deep, diffuse T-wave inversions can now be seen in these leads.

The patient’s cardiac biomarkers quickly returned to normal, and a repeated transthoracic echocardiogram 3 days later (day 5) revealed nearly complete resolution of myocardial wall-motion abnormalities (Figure 4). The patient was discharged from the hospital on day 6, and the regimen of metoprolol, 100 mg/d, and candesartan, 8 mg/d, was continued. A repeated ECG approximately 3 weeks later demonstrated resolution of anterior ST-segment elevation and deep, diffuse T-wave inversions in the inferior and precordial leads (Figure 5). Three months after hospital admission, the patient’s ECG results had returned to normal (Figure 6).

The patient’s cardiac biomarkers quickly returned to normal, and a repeated transthoracic echocardiogram 3 days later (day 5) revealed nearly complete resolution of myocardial wall-motion abnormalities (Figure 4). The patient was discharged from the hospital on day 6, and the regimen of metoprolol, 100 mg/d, and candesartan, 8 mg/d, was continued. A repeated ECG approximately 3 weeks later demonstrated resolution of anterior ST-segment elevation and deep, diffuse T-wave inversions in the inferior and precordial leads (Figure 5). Three months after hospital admission, the patient’s ECG results had returned to normal (Figure 6).
Comment
Stress-induced cardiomyopathy accounts for 2% to 3% of patients presenting with signs and symptoms of acute anterior MI. It is characterized by minimal coronary artery obstruction, regional cardiomyopathy with wall-motion abnormalities extending beyond the distribution of a single vascular territory, and the occurrence of a precipitating stressor. The following criteria are required to establish the diagnosis of stress-induced cardiomyopathy:

- transient, reversible akinesis or dyskinesis of the left ventricular apical and midventricular segments with regional wall-motion abnormalities extending beyond a single vascular territory at left ventriculography
- absence of obstructive coronary artery stenosis (>50% of the luminal diameter) or angiographic evidence of acute plaque rupture
- new electrocardiographic abnormalities consisting of ST-segment elevation or T-wave inversion
- absence of recent head trauma, intracranial bleeding, pheochromocytoma, obstructive epicardial coronary artery disease, myocarditis, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Certain ECG criteria have been shown in combination to have a high sensitivity and specificity for differentiating between anterior MI and stress-induced cardiomyopathy. Kosuge et al demonstrated that the combination of ST-segment depression in lead aVR and the absence of ST-segment elevation in lead V1 identified stress-induced cardiomyopathy with 91% sensitivity, 96% specificity, and 95% predictive accuracy—superior to any other ECG criteria that have been studied. In an anterior MI, the perfusion territory of the left anterior descending artery generally does not extend into the apical and inferolateral regions of the myocardium; changes in the perfusion of these regions, as in stress-induced cardiomyopathy, would result in ST-segment depression in lead aVR. In opposite fashion, the wall-motion abnormalities of stress-induced cardiomyopathy rarely extend to the region faced by lead V1, resulting in minimal—if any—ST-segment changes in that lead. Most patients with an anterior MI and subsequent anteroseptal ischemia have ST-segment elevation in lead V1.

Elevations in serial cardiac biomarker measurements are small compared with the degree of wall-motion abnormality seen. In addition, cardiac biomarker measurements generally do not follow the kinetics seen with acute anterior MI, and a small proportion of patients do not have any rise in their troponin levels. Wall-motion abnormalities generally return to normal within days of diagnosis, with nearly all patients returning to normal within 4 weeks.

Treatment of patients with stress-induced cardiomyopathy involves initiation of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (or angiotensin-II receptor blocker), β-blocker therapy, or both in patients with substantial left ventricular dysfunction who can tolerate therapy. Rarely, treatment will involve inotropic support if hemodynamic compromise is clinically significant. Acute complications (eg, cardiogenic shock, heart failure, pulmonary edema, arrhythmia, left ventricular thrombus formation, free wall rupture, death) occur in about 1 of 5 patients with stress-induced cardiomyopathy. Mortality is uncommon, as is recurrence of the condition.

Conclusion
The present case provides a review for hospitalists of how a patient with stress-induced cardiomyopathy may present and how the condition is diagnosed and managed. It also provides an introduction to the topic for physicians with strictly outpatient practices. Stress-induced cardiomyopathy is becoming more commonly diagnosed in the hospital setting. Establishing it firmly in the physician’s differential diagnosis for acute coronary syndrome will no doubt allow for quicker evaluation, diagnosis, and initiation of appropriate medical therapy.
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